

JOSE Working Group	M. Jones
Internet-Draft	Microsoft
Intended status: Standards Track	April 25, 2013
Expires: October 27, 2013	

JSON Web Key (JWK) draft-ietf-jose-json-web-key-10

Abstract

A JSON Web Key (JWK) is a JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) data structure that represents a cryptographic key. This specification also defines a JSON Web Key Set (JWK Set) JSON data structure for representing a set of JWKs. Cryptographic algorithms and identifiers for use with this specification are described in the separate JSON Web Algorithms (JWA) specification.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on October 27, 2013.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2013 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

- 1. Introduction**
 - 1.1. Notational Conventions**
- 2. Terminology**
- 3. JSON Web Key (JWK) Format**
 - 3.1. "kty" (Key Type) Parameter**
 - 3.2. "use" (Key Use) Parameter**
 - 3.3. "alg" (Algorithm) Parameter**
 - 3.4. "kid" (Key ID) Parameter**
- 4. JSON Web Key Set (JWK Set) Format**
 - 4.1. "keys" (JSON Web Key Set) Parameter**
- 5. String Comparison Rules**
- 6. IANA Considerations**
 - 6.1. JSON Web Key Parameters Registry**
 - 6.1.1. Registration Template**
 - 6.1.2. Initial Registry Contents**

- [6.2. JSON Web Key Set Parameters Registry](#)
 - [6.2.1. Registration Template](#)
 - [6.2.2. Initial Registry Contents](#)
- [7. Security Considerations](#)
- [8. References](#)
 - [8.1. Normative References](#)
 - [8.2. Informative References](#)
- [Appendix A. Example JSON Web Key Sets](#)
 - [A.1. Example Public Keys](#)
 - [A.2. Example Private Keys](#)
 - [A.3. Example Symmetric Keys](#)
- [Appendix B. Acknowledgements](#)
- [Appendix C. Document History](#)
- [§ Author's Address](#)

1. Introduction

TOC

A JSON Web Key (JWK) is a JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) [\[RFC4627\]](#) data structure that represents a cryptographic key. This specification also defines a JSON Web Key Set (JWK Set) JSON data structure for representing a set of JWKs. Cryptographic algorithms and identifiers for use with this specification are described in the separate JSON Web Algorithms (JWA) [\[JWA\]](#) specification.

Goals for this specification do not include representing certificate chains, representing certified keys, and replacing X.509 certificates.

JWKs and JWK Sets are used in the JSON Web Signature (JWS) [\[JWS\]](#) and JSON Web Encryption (JWE) [\[JWE\]](#) specifications.

1.1. Notational Conventions

TOC

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels [\[RFC2119\]](#).

2. Terminology

TOC

JSON Web Key (JWK)

A JSON object that represents a cryptographic key.

JSON Web Key Set (JWK Set)

A JSON object that contains an array of JWKs as the value of its `keys` member.

Base64url Encoding

The URL- and filename-safe Base64 encoding described in [RFC 4648](#) [RFC4648], Section 5, with the (non URL-safe) '=' padding characters omitted, as permitted by Section 3.2. (See Appendix C of [\[JWS\]](#) for notes on implementing base64url encoding without padding.)

Collision Resistant Namespace

A namespace that allows names to be allocated in a manner such that they are highly unlikely to collide with other names. For instance, collision resistance can be achieved through administrative delegation of portions of the namespace or through use of collision-resistant name allocation functions. Examples of Collision Resistant Namespaces include: Domain Names, Object Identifiers (OIDs) as defined in the ITU-T X.660 and X.670 Recommendation series, and Universally Unique IDentifiers (UUIDs) [\[RFC4122\]](#). When using an administratively delegated namespace, the definer of a name needs to take reasonable precautions to ensure they are in control of the portion of the namespace they use to define the name.

3. JSON Web Key (JWK) Format

A JSON Web Key (JWK) is a JSON object containing specific members, as specified below. Those members that are common to all key types are defined below.

In addition to the common parameters, each JWK will have members that are specific to the kind of key being represented. These members represent the parameters of the key. Section 5 of the JSON Web Algorithms (JWA) [JWA] specification defines multiple kinds of cryptographic keys and their associated members.

The member names within a JWK MUST be unique; objects with duplicate member names MUST be rejected.

Additional members MAY be present in the JWK. If not understood by implementations encountering them, they MUST be ignored. Member names used for representing key parameters for different kinds of keys need not be distinct. Any new member name SHOULD either be registered in the IANA JSON Web Key Parameters registry [Section 6.1](#) or be a value that contains a Collision Resistant Namespace.

3.1. "kty" (Key Type) Parameter

The `kty` (key type) member identifies the cryptographic algorithm family used with the key. `kty` values SHOULD either be registered in the IANA JSON Web Key Types registry [JWA] or be a value that contains a Collision Resistant Namespace. The `kty` value is a case sensitive string. Use of this member is REQUIRED.

A list of defined `kty` values can be found in the IANA JSON Web Key Types registry [JWA]; the initial contents of this registry are the values defined in Section 5.1 of the JSON Web Algorithms (JWA) [JWA] specification.

Additional members used with these `kty` values can be found in the IANA JSON Web Key Parameters registry [Section 6.1](#); the initial contents of this registry are the values defined in Sections 5.2 and 5.3 of the JSON Web Algorithms (JWA) [JWA] specification.

3.2. "use" (Key Use) Parameter

The `use` (key use) member identifies the intended use of the key. Values defined by this specification are:

- `sig` (signature or MAC operation)
- `enc` (encryption)

Other values MAY be used. The `use` value is a case sensitive string. Use of this member is OPTIONAL.

3.3. "alg" (Algorithm) Parameter

The `alg` (algorithm) member identifies the algorithm intended for use with the key. The values used in this field are the same as those used in the JWS [JWS] and JWE [JWE] `alg` and `enc` header parameters; these values can be found in the JSON Web Signature and Encryption Algorithms registry [JWA]. Use of this member is OPTIONAL.

3.4. "kid" (Key ID) Parameter

The `kid` (key ID) member can be used to match a specific key. This can be used, for instance, to choose among a set of keys within a JWK Set during key rollover. The interpretation of the `kid` value is unspecified. Key ID values within a JWK Set need not be unique. The `kid` value is a case sensitive string. Use of this member is OPTIONAL.

When used with JWS or JWE, the `kid` value can be used to match a JWS or JWE `kid` header parameter value.

In some contexts, different keys using the same Key ID value might be present, with the keys being disambiguated using other information, such as the `ktu` or `use` values. For example, imagine `kid` values like "Current", "Upcoming", and "Deprecated", used for key rollover guidance. One could apply a label to all keys where the classification fits. If there are multiple "Current" keys, then in this example, they might be differentiated either by having different "ktu" or "use" values, or some combination of both. As one example, there might only be one current RSA signing key and one current Elliptic Curve signing key, but both would be "Current".

4. JSON Web Key Set (JWK Set) Format

TOC

A JSON Web Key Set (JWK Set) is a JSON object that contains an array of JSON Web Key values as the value of its `keys` member.

The member names within a JWK Set MUST be unique; objects with duplicate member names MUST be rejected.

Additional members MAY be present in the JWK Set. If not understood by implementations encountering them, they MUST be ignored. Parameters for representing additional properties of JWK Sets SHOULD either be registered in the IANA JSON Web Key Set Parameters registry [Section 6.2](#) or be a value that contains a Collision Resistant Namespace.

4.1. "keys" (JSON Web Key Set) Parameter

TOC

The value of the `keys` (JSON Web Key Set) member is an array of JSON Web Key (JWK) values. Use of this member is REQUIRED.

5. String Comparison Rules

TOC

Processing a JWK inevitably requires comparing known strings to values in JSON objects. For example, in checking what the key type is, the Unicode string encoding `ktu` will be checked against the member names in the JWK to see if there is a matching name.

Comparisons between JSON strings and other Unicode strings MUST be performed by comparing Unicode code points without normalization as specified in the String Comparison Rules in Section 5.3 of [\[JWS\]](#).

6. IANA Considerations

TOC

The following registration procedure is used for all the registries established by this specification.

Values are registered with a Specification Required [\[RFC5226\]](#) after a two-week review period on the `[TBD]@ietf.org` mailing list, on the advice of one or more Designated Experts. However, to allow for the allocation of values prior to publication, the Designated Expert(s) may approve registration once they are satisfied that such a specification will be published.

Registration requests must be sent to the `[TBD]@ietf.org` mailing list for review and comment, with an appropriate subject (e.g., "Request for access token type: example"). [[

Note to RFC-EDITOR: The name of the mailing list should be determined in consultation with the IESG and IANA. Suggested name: jose-reg-review.]]

Within the review period, the Designated Expert(s) will either approve or deny the registration request, communicating this decision to the review list and IANA. Denials should include an explanation and, if applicable, suggestions as to how to make the request successful.

IANA must only accept registry updates from the Designated Expert(s) and should direct all requests for registration to the review mailing list.

6.1. JSON Web Key Parameters Registry

TOC

This specification establishes the IANA JSON Web Key Parameters registry for reserved JWK parameter names. The registry records the reserved parameter name and a reference to the specification that defines it. This specification registers the parameter names defined in **Section 3**. The same JWK parameter name may be registered multiple times, provided that duplicate parameter registrations are only for algorithm-specific JWK parameters; in this case, the meaning of the duplicate parameter name is disambiguated by the `kt` value of the JWK containing it.

6.1.1. Registration Template

TOC

Parameter Name:

The name requested (e.g., "example"). This name is case sensitive. Names that match other registered names in a case insensitive manner SHOULD NOT be accepted.

Change Controller:

For Standards Track RFCs, state "IETF". For others, give the name of the responsible party. Other details (e.g., postal address, email address, home page URI) may also be included.

Specification Document(s):

Reference to the document(s) that specify the parameter, preferably including URI(s) that can be used to retrieve copies of the document(s). An indication of the relevant sections may also be included but is not required.

6.1.2. Initial Registry Contents

TOC

- Parameter Name: `kt`
- Change Controller: IETF
- Specification Document(s): **Section 3.1** of [[this document]]

- Parameter Name: `use`
- Change Controller: IETF
- Specification Document(s): **Section 3.2** of [[this document]]

- Parameter Name: `alg`
- Change Controller: IETF
- Specification Document(s): **Section 3.3** of [[this document]]

- Parameter Name: `kid`
- Change Controller: IETF
- Specification Document(s): **Section 3.4** of [[this document]]

6.2. JSON Web Key Set Parameters Registry

TOC

This specification establishes the IANA JSON Web Key Set Parameters registry for reserved JWK Set parameter names. The registry records the reserved parameter name and a

reference to the specification that defines it. This specification registers the parameter names defined in **Section 4**.

6.2.1. Registration Template

TOC

Parameter Name:

The name requested (e.g., "example"). This name is case sensitive. Names that match other registered names in a case insensitive manner SHOULD NOT be accepted.

Change Controller:

For Standards Track RFCs, state "IETF". For others, give the name of the responsible party. Other details (e.g., postal address, email address, home page URI) may also be included.

Specification Document(s):

Reference to the document(s) that specify the parameter, preferably including URI(s) that can be used to retrieve copies of the document(s). An indication of the relevant sections may also be included but is not required.

6.2.2. Initial Registry Contents

TOC

- Parameter Name: [keys](#)
- Change Controller: IETF
- Specification Document(s): **Section 4.1** of [[this document]]

7. Security Considerations

TOC

All of the security issues faced by any cryptographic application must be faced by a JWS/JWE/JWK agent. Among these issues are protecting the user's private and symmetric keys, preventing various attacks, and helping the user avoid mistakes such as inadvertently encrypting a message for the wrong recipient. The entire list of security considerations is beyond the scope of this document, but some significant considerations are listed here.

A key is no more trustworthy than the method by which it was received.

Private and symmetric keys must be protected from disclosure to unintended parties. One recommended means of doing so is to encrypt JWKs or JWK Sets containing them by using the JWK or JWK Set value as the plaintext of a JWE.

The security considerations in **RFC 3447** [RFC3447] and **RFC 6030** [RFC6030] about protecting private and symmetric keys also apply to this specification.

The security considerations in **XML DSIG 2.0** [W3C.CR-xmlsig-core2-20120124], about key representations also apply to this specification, other than those that are XML specific.

8. References

TOC

8.1. Normative References

TOC

- [JWA] [Jones, M.](#), "**JSON Web Algorithms (JWA)**," draft-ietf-jose-json-web-algorithms (work in progress), April 2013 ([HTML](#)).
- [JWE] [Jones, M.](#), [Rescorla, E.](#), and [J. Hildebrand](#), "**JSON Web Encryption (JWE)**," draft-ietf-jose-json-web-encryption (work in progress), April 2013 ([HTML](#)).
- [JWS] [Jones, M.](#), [Bradley, J.](#), and [N. Sakimura](#), "**JSON Web Signature (JWS)**," draft-ietf-jose-json-web-signature (work in progress), April 2013 ([HTML](#)).
- [RFC2119] [Bradner, S.](#), "**Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels**," BCP 14, RFC 2119,

- March 1997 ([TXT](#), [HTML](#), [XML](#)).
- [RFC4627] Crockford, D., "[The application/json Media Type for JavaScript Object Notation \(JSON\)](#)," RFC 4627, July 2006 ([TXT](#)).
- [RFC4648] Josefsson, S., "[The Base16, Base32, and Base64 Data Encodings](#)," RFC 4648, October 2006 ([TXT](#)).
- [RFC5226] Narten, T. and H. Alvestrand, "[Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs](#)," BCP 26, RFC 5226, May 2008 ([TXT](#)).
- [W3C.CR-xmldsig-core2-20120124] Eastlake, D., Reagle, J., Yiu, K., Solo, D., Datta, P., Hirsch, F., Cantor, S., and T. Roessler, "[XML Signature Syntax and Processing Version 2.0](#)," World Wide Web Consortium CR CR-xmldsig-core2-20120124, January 2012 ([HTML](#)).

8.2. Informative References

TOC

- [[MagicSignatures](#)] Panzer (editor), J., Laurie, B., and D. Balfanz, "[Magic Signatures](#)," January 2011.
- [RFC3447] Jonsson, J. and B. Kaliski, "[Public-Key Cryptography Standards \(PKCS\) #1: RSA Cryptography Specifications Version 2.1](#)," RFC 3447, February 2003 ([TXT](#)).
- [RFC4122] [Leach, P.](#), [Mealling, M.](#), and [R. Salz](#), "[A Universally Unique IDentifier \(UUID\) URN Namespace](#)," RFC 4122, July 2005 ([TXT](#), [HTML](#), [XML](#)).
- [RFC6030] Hoyer, P., Pei, M., and S. Machani, "[Portable Symmetric Key Container \(PSKC\)](#)," RFC 6030, October 2010 ([TXT](#)).

Appendix A. Example JSON Web Key Sets

TOC

A.1. Example Public Keys

TOC

The following example JWK Set contains two public keys represented as JWKs: one using an Elliptic Curve algorithm and a second one using an RSA algorithm. The first specifies that the key is to be used for encryption. The second specifies that the key is to be used with the [RS256](#) algorithm. Both provide a Key ID for key matching purposes. In both cases, integers are represented using the base64url encoding of their big endian representations. (Long lines are broken are for display purposes only.)

```
{ "keys":
  [
    { "kty": "EC",
      "crv": "P-256",
      "x": "MKBCTNIcKUSDii11ySs3526iDZ8AiTo7Tu6KPAqv7D4",
      "y": "4Et16SRW2YiLUrN5vfVHUhp7x8Px1tmWWlbbM4IFyM",
      "use": "enc",
      "kid": "1" },
    { "kty": "RSA",
      "n": "0vx7agoebGcQSuuPiLJXZptN9nndrQmbXEps2aiAFbWhM78LhwX
4cbbfAAtVT86zWu1RK7aPFFxuhDR1L6tSoc_BJECPEbWKRxbZCiFV4n3oknjhMs
tn64tZ_2W-5JsGY4Hc5n9yBXArwl93lqt7_RN5w6Cf0h4QyQ5v-65YGjQR0_FDW2
QvzqY368QQMicAtaSqzs8KJZgnYb9c7d0zgdAZHzu6QMqvRL5hajrn1n91Cb0pbI
SD08qNLyrdkt-bFTwhAI4vMQFh6WeZu0fM4lFd2NcRwr3XPksINHaQ-G_xBniIqb
w0Ls1jF44-csFCur-kEgU8awapJzKnqDKgw",
      "e": "AQAB",
      "alg": "RS256",
      "kid": "2011-04-29" }
  ]
}
```

A.2. Example Private Keys

TOC

The following example JWK Set contains two keys represented as JWKs containing both public and private key values: one using an Elliptic Curve algorithm and a second one using an RSA algorithm. This example extends the example in the previous section, adding private key

values. (Line breaks are for display purposes only.)

```
{ "keys":
  [
    { "kty": "EC",
      "crv": "P-256",
      "x": "MKBCTNIcKUSDii11ySs3526iDZ8AiTo7Tu6KPAqv7D4",
      "y": "4Et16SRW2YiLUrN5vfvVHuhp7x8Px1tmWW1bbM4IFyM",
      "d": "870MB6gfuTJ4HtUnUvYMyJpr5eUZNP4Bk43bVdj3eAE",
      "use": "enc",
      "kid": "1" },

    { "kty": "RSA",
      "n": "0vx7agoebGcQSuuPiLJXZptN9nndrQmbXEps2aiAFbWhM78LhWx4
cbbfAAAtVT86zWu1Rk7aPFFxuhDR1L6tSoc_BJECPEbWKRXjBZCiFV4n3oknjhMst
n64tZ_2W-5JsGY4Hc5n9yBXArwl93lqt7_RN5w6Cf0h4QyQ5v-65YGjQR0_FDW2Q
vzqY368QQMicAtaSqzs8KJZgnYb9c7d0zgdAZHzu6QMqvRL5hajrn1n91Cb0pbIS
D08qNLYrckt-bFTwhAI4vMQFh6WeZu0fM41Fd2NcRwr3XPksINHaQ-G_xBniIqbW
0Ls1jF44-csFCur-kEgU8awapJzKnqDKgw",
      "e": "AQAB",
      "d": "X4cTteJY_gn4FYPsXB8rdXix5vwsG1FLN5E3EaG6RJoVH-HLLKD9
M7dx5oo7GURknchnrRweUKC7hT5fJLM0WbFAKNLWY2vv7B6NqXSzUvxT0_YSfqij
wp3RTz1BaCxWp4doFk5N2o8Gy_nHNKroADIKJ46pRUohsXywbReAdYaMwFs9tv8d
_cPVY3i07a3t8MN6TNwm0dSawm9v47UicL3Sk5ZiG7xojPLu4sbG1U2jx4IBTNBz
nbJSzFHK66jT8bgkuqsk0GjSkDjk19Z4qwjwbsnn4j2WBii3RL-Us2lGVkY8fkFz
me1z0HbIkfz0Y6mqn0Ytqc0X4jfcKoAC8Q",
      "p": "83i-7IvMGXoMXCskv73TKr8637Fi07Z27zv8oj6pbWUQyLPQBQxtPV
nwD20R-60eTDM2ujnMt5PoqMrm8RfmNhVWdtjjMmCMjOpSXicFHj7X0uVIYQyqV
WlWEh6dN36GVZYk93N8Bc9vY41xy8B9Rzz0GVQzXvNEvn700nVbfs",
      "q": "3df0R9cuYq-0S-mkFLzgItgMEffzB2q3hWehMuG0oCuqnb3vobLyum
qjVZQ01dIrdwgTnCdpYzBc0fW5r370AFXjiWft_NGEiovonizhKpo9VVS78TzFgx
kIdrecRezsZ-1kYd_s1qDbxtkDEgfAITAG9LUNADun4vIcb6yelxk",
      "dp": "G4sPXkc6Ya9y8oJW9_ILj4xuppu0lzi_H7VTkS8xj5SdX3coE0oim
YwxIi2emTAue0U0a5dpgFGyBJ4c8tQ2VF402XRugKDTP8akYhFo5tAA77Qe_Nmtu
YZc3C3m3I24G2GvR5sSDxUyAN2zq8Lfn9EUms6rY30b8YeiKkTiBj0",
      "dq": "s9lAH9fggBsoFR80ac2R_E2gw282rT2kG0AhvIlLETE1efrA6huUU
vMfBcMpn8lqew6vzznYY5SSQF7pMdC_agI3nG8Ibp1BUb0JUiraRNqUfLhcQb_d9
GF4Dh7e74WbRsobRonujTYN1xCaP6T061jvWrX-L18txXw494Q_cgk",
      "qi": "GyM_p6JrXySiz1toFgKbWV-JdI3jQ4ypu9rbMMwx3rQJBfmt0FoYzg
UIZEVFEc0qwemRN81zoDAAA-Bk0KWNdGjJHZDdDmFhw3AN71I-puxk_mHZGJ11rx
yR8055XLSe3SPmRfKwZI6yU24ZxvQKFYItldldUKGz06Ia6zTKhAVRU",
      "alg": "RS256",
      "kid": "2011-04-29" }
  ]
}
```

A.3. Example Symmetric Keys

TOC

The following example JWK Set contains two symmetric keys represented as JWKs: one designated as being for use with the AES Key Wrap algorithm and a second one that is an HMAC key. (Line breaks are for display purposes only.)

```
{ "keys":
  [
    { "kty": "oct",
      "alg": "A128KW",
      "k": "GawggguFyGrWKav7AX4VKUg" },

    { "kty": "oct",
      "k": "AyM1SysPpbyDfgZld3umj1qzK0bwVMkoqQ-EstJQLr_T-1qS0gZH75
aKtMN3Yj0iPS4hcgUuTwjAzZr1Z9CAow",
      "kid": "HMAC key used in JWS A.1 example" }
  ]
}
```

Appendix B. Acknowledgements

[TOC](#)

A JSON representation for RSA public keys was previously introduced by John Panzer, Ben Laurie, and Dirk Balfanz in **Magic Signatures** [MagicSignatures].

This specification is the work of the JOSE Working Group, which includes dozens of active and dedicated participants. In particular, the following individuals contributed ideas, feedback, and wording that influenced this specification:

Dirk Balfanz, Richard Barnes, John Bradley, Brian Campbell, Breno de Medeiros, Joe Hildebrand, Edmund Jay, Ben Laurie, James Manger, Tony Nadalin, Axel Nennker, John Panzer, Eric Rescorla, Nat Sakimura, Jim Schaad, Paul Tarjan, Hannes Tschofenig, and Sean Turner.

Jim Schaad and Karen O'Donoghue chaired the JOSE working group and Sean Turner and Stephen Farrell served as Security area directors during the creation of this specification.

Appendix C. Document History

[TOC](#)

[[to be removed by the RFC editor before publication as an RFC]]

-10

- No changes were made, other than to the version number and date.

-09

- Expanded the scope of the JWK specification to include private and symmetric key representations, as specified by draft-jones-jose-json-private-and-symmetric-key-00.
- Defined that members that are not understood must be ignored.

-08

- Changed the name of the JWK key type parameter from `alg` to `kty` to enable use of `alg` to indicate the particular algorithm that the key is intended to be used with.
- Clarified statements of the form "This member is OPTIONAL" to "Use of this member is OPTIONAL".
- Referenced String Comparison Rules in JWS.
- Added seriesInfo information to Internet Draft references.

-07

- Changed the name of the JWK RSA modulus parameter from `mod` to `n` and the name of the JWK RSA exponent parameter from `xpo` to `e`, so that the identifiers are the same as those used in RFC 3447.

-06

- Changed the name of the JWK RSA exponent parameter from `exp` to `xpo` so as to allow the potential use of the name `exp` for a future extension that might define an expiration parameter for keys. (The `exp` name is already used for this purpose in the JWT specification.)
- Clarify that the `alg` (algorithm family) member is REQUIRED.
- Correct an instance of "JWK" that should have been "JWK Set".
- Applied changes made by the RFC Editor to RFC 6749's registry language to this specification.

-05

- Indented artwork elements to better distinguish them from the body text.

-04

- Refer to the registries as the primary sources of defined values and then secondarily reference the sections defining the initial contents of the registries.
- Normatively reference **XML DSIG 2.0** [W3C.CR-xmlsig-core2-20120124] for its security considerations.
- Added this language to Registration Templates: "This name is case sensitive. Names that match other registered names in a case insensitive manner SHOULD NOT be accepted."
- Described additional open issues.
- Applied editorial suggestions.

-03

- Clarified that `kid` values need not be unique within a JWK Set.
- Moved JSON Web Key Parameters registry to the JWK specification.
- Added "Collision Resistant Namespace" to the terminology section.
- Changed registration requirements from RFC Required to Specification Required with Expert Review.
- Added Registration Template sections for defined registries.
- Added Registry Contents sections to populate registry values.
- Numerous editorial improvements.

-02

- Simplified JWK terminology to get replace the "JWK Key Object" and "JWK Container Object" terms with simply "JSON Web Key (JWK)" and "JSON Web Key Set (JWK Set)" and to eliminate potential confusion between single keys and sets of keys. As part of this change, the top-level member name for a set of keys was changed from `jwk` to `keys`.
- Clarified that values with duplicate member names MUST be rejected.
- Established JSON Web Key Set Parameters registry.
- Explicitly listed non-goals in the introduction.
- Moved algorithm-specific definitions from JWK to JWA.
- Reformatted to give each member definition its own section heading.

-01

- Corrected the Magic Signatures reference.

-00

- Created the initial IETF draft based upon draft-jones-json-web-key-03 with no normative changes.

Author's Address

Michael B. Jones
Microsoft
Email: mbj@microsoft.com
URI: <http://self-issued.info/>

TOC